

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Petitioner, ) 1:05-CV-258 REC DLB  
vs. ) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:  
JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS, ) CONTEMPT  
Respondent. )  
)

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Petitioner, ) 1:05-CV-285 REC DLB  
vs. )  
LORNE McCAN, )  
Respondent. )  
)

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Petitioner, ) 1:05-CV-290 REC DLB  
vs. )  
V. STEVEN BOOTH and LOUISE Q. )  
BOOTH, )  
Respondents. )  
)

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
2 Petitioner, ) 1:05-CV-302 REC DLB  
3 vs. )  
4 JOHN INNIS, JR., )  
5 Respondent, )  
6 )  
7

---

8 Upon the petition of McGregor W. Scott, United States  
9 Attorney for the Eastern District of California, and the exhibits  
10 thereto,

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that respondents JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS,  
12 LORNE McCAN, V. STEVEN BOOTH, LOUISE Q. BOOTH and JOHN INNIS,  
13 JR., appear before United States District Judge Robert E. Coyle  
14 in Courtroom 1 of the United States Courthouse, 1130 "O" Street,  
15 Fresno, California, on August 22, 2005, at 1:30 p.m. and that  
16 respondents show cause as follows:

17 1. Why the respondents, JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS, LORNE McCAN,  
18 V. STEVEN BOOTH, LOUISE Q. BOOTH and JOHN INNIS, JR., should not  
19 be held in civil contempt of this Court for their failure to  
20 comply with the "Orders Deeming Respondent's 'Notice of Appeal of  
21 Magistrate's Findigs [sic] and Recmmendations [sic]' to be  
22 Objections to Findings and Recommendation; Enforcing IRS Summons  
23 and Directing Respondent to Appear on Friday, June 17, 2005 at  
24 [times certain] in Courtroom 5" ("Summons Enforcement Orders").

25 2. Why the respondents should not be incarcerated and  
26 ordered to pay a daily fine until they comply with the Summons

1 Enforcement Orders, and ordered to pay a compensatory fine to the  
2 United States.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before Monday, August 8,  
4 2005 the respondents shall file and serve a written response to  
5 the "Petition Re: Civil Contempt of Orders filed May 23-25,  
6 2005." Only those issues brought into controversy by the  
7 responsive papers and supported by declaration will be considered  
8 at the hearing on this Order, and any uncontested allegation in  
9 the Petition Re: Civil Contempt will be considered admitted.

10 Respondents are hereby notified that a failure to comply  
11 with this Order will subject respondents to possible further  
12 sanctions for contempt of Court.

13 The Clerk shall forward copies of this Order to the  
14 Magistrate Judge, the respondents and the United States Attorney.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 **Dated: July 15, 2005**  
668554

**/s/ Robert E. Coyle**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26